

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 171 OF 2015  
(M.A. No. 510 of 2015)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Pandalaneni Srimannarayan & Anr.  
Vs.  
State of Andhra Pradesh & Ors.**

**CORAM :** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER  
HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER  
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

**Present:** Applicant: Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Mr. Sravan Kumar K.,  
Mr. Abhimanue Shreshtha and Mr. T.V.  
Bhaskar Reddy, Advs  
Respondent No. 1 & 2 : Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv. with Mr.  
Guntur Prabhakar, Mr. Arunabh Ganguli  
and Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, Advs.  
Respondent No. 3 : Mr. Vishwendra Verma and Mr. Shivali,  
Advs. for MoEF.  
Respondent No. 4 & 5 : Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Adv.

<b>Date and Remarks</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
<b>Item No. 28</b> <b>July 27, 2015</b>	<p>Heard. Perused.</p> <p>Mr. Vishwendra Verma and Ms. Shivali, Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 3, Waive service on behalf of the Respondent No. 3, MoEF.</p> <p>Copies of this application along with annexure thereto shall be furnished to the Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.</p> <p>Time sought for Reply. Two weeks time is granted for filing the Reply. Advance copies of the replies be furnished to the applicant who may file Rejoinder thereto, if any, within a week thereafter.</p> <p>Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant expressed his anxiety about the developmental activity which may commence as a result of the Respondent No. 1, State of Andhra Pradesh issuing G.O.MS No. 1 dated 01.01.2015 notifying the Andhra Pradesh Capital City land pooling scheme (Formulation and Implementation) Rules 2015 in the area</p>

between Vijaywada and Guntur City on the bank of river Krishna.

According to him the flood plain of River Krishna and adjoining fertile agricultural lands alongwith the wet lands are being exposed to the threat of getting ruined as a result of developmental activity.

He, therefore, submits that there should be some check on this developmental activity so as to protect the environment in the said area. He expresses further apprehension that with the passage of time it may be claimed by the Respondents that the developmental activity which has been undertaken cannot be set at naught it having already taken place.

The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 (State) submits that the State of Andhra Pradesh is equally concerned about the protection of the Environment and will not take any such action that would ruin the environment in the area and as such State shall abide by all statutory requirements which are necessary to protect the environment. With this submission, we believe that there is no necessity at this stage to intervene and pass any interim order till such time the replies are filed by the Respondents. Copies of the application along with the annexures thereto shall be furnished to the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, if not be furnished earlier.

List this case on 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2015

.....,JM  
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,JM  
(M.S. Nambiar)

.....,EM  
(Dr. D. K. Agrawal)

.....,EM  
(Prof. A.R. Yousuf)

		<p>.....,EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)</p>
--	--	---

